# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |      | LING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. 8086 |
|-----------------------------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 09/849,734 05/04            |      | 05/04/2001               | G. Glenn Henry       | CNTR: 2023          |                       |
| 23669                       | 7590 | 08/13/2004               |                      | EXAMINER            |                       |
| HUFFMAN<br>1832 N. CAS      |      | ROUP, P.C.               | TSAI, HENRY          |                     |                       |
|                             |      | ve.<br>is, co 80907-7449 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER          |
|                             |      | ,                        |                      | 2183                |                       |

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |             |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|-------------|--|--|--|
|   |  | 09/849,734  | HENRY ET AL.  | OF          |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |             |  |  |  |
|   |  | Henry W.H. Tsai   | 2183  |             |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication   | 1   | vith the correspondence addr  | ess         |  |  |  |
| THE  Exte after If the If NC Failu Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. In me may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statusing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filed | ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC II, by statute, cause the application to become A r the mailing date of this communication, even | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this comi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. |  |  |  |
| ,—  | •  | on <u>or sume 2004.</u><br>) ☐ This action is non-final.  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the ap-<br>4a) Of the above claim(s) _ is/are withd<br>Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> , <u>and 40-45</u> is/are allowed<br>Claim(s) <u>37-39</u> is/are rejected.<br>Claim(s) is/are objected to.<br>Claim(s) are subject to restriction  | Irawn from consideration.<br>ed.  |   |             |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers   |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed oni Applicant may not request that any objectit Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be  | is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ ob<br>on to the drawing(s) be held in abey-<br>he correction is required if the drawin  | ance.  See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ig(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR  |             |  |  |  |
| Priority  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Infor  | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P  | O-948) Paper No   | r Summary (PTO-413)<br>b(s)/Mail Date<br>f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1<br>   | 52)         |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/849,734

Art Unit: 2183

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Objections

1. Claims 37-39 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 37, line 9, "preformed" should read -performed.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Emma et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,353,421), hereafter referred to as Emma et al./421.

Referring to claim 37, Emma et al./421 discloses, as claimed, a method for speculatively branching in a microprocessor (see fig. 10), the method comprising: generating a plurality of speculative branch direction predictions of an instruction (fig. 11 shows that in response to a single instruction fetch, a plurality of speculative branch direction predictions indicated by the `T' entries in the BHT's

Application/Control Number: 09/849,734

Art Unit: 2183

segment-entry information are generated); selecting one of said plurality of speculative branch direction predictions as a final direction prediction (fig. 11 shows that a single direction is selected among the plurality by the select logic and select gates); and speculatively branching the microprocessor if said final direction prediction indicates said instruction will be taken (col. 12, lines 6-8 indicate that if the prediction is to take to branch, fetch the target address of the branch. As this prediction is during instruction-fetch time, the branching is speculative); wherein said generating, said selecting, and said speculatively branching are preformed prior to decoding said instruction (see col. 5, lines 30-32 and col. 12, lines 6-8).

As to claim 38, Emma et al./421 also discloses: detecting (that) said final direction erroneously indicated said instruction will be taken subsequent to said speculatively branching (see col. 8, lines 61-63).

As to claim 39, Emma et al./421 also discloses: branching to a correct target address in response to said detecting (col. 8, lines 65-68, col. 9, lines 1-2 indicate that the processor is restarted when a misprediction is detected. Although not explicitly mentioned, branching to the correct target address calculated at the execution stage is required in order to restart the processing).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/849,734
Art Unit: 2183

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-36, and 40-45 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication 4. of allowable subject matter: Examiner agrees with Applicants that Emma et al./421 does not teach a selector for selecting one of first and second predictors based on a fetch address (in claim 1); Black's fetch address multiplexer does not select a direction prediction, but instead selects a next fetch address from one of multiple addresses, such as a branch target address provided by the BTAC or by the decode prediction logic (in claim 12); Black'723 (U.S. Patent No. 5,761,723) does not teach control logic for causing a microprocessor to speculatively branch, prior to decode of the branch instruction, if one of the first and second predictions selected by the selector predicts that the branch instruction will be taken as recited in amended claim 23; and the BHT history state and the DECODE CORRECTION signal (in Black'723 reference) cannot both be the first prediction recited in claim 40 and neither the BHT history state nor the DECODE CORRECTIO'V signal satisfy the required the limitations recited in claim 40.

Art Unit: 2183

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/04 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant argues that Applicant can find no teaching in Emma et al./421 of generating a plurality of speculative branch direction predictions of an instruction prior to decoding the instruction, as recited by claim 37. Examiner disagrees with Applicants. As set forth in the art rejections to claims 37-39 above, Emma et al./421 discloses the step of: generating a plurality of speculative branch direction predictions of an instruction (fig. 11 shows that in response to a single instruction fetch, a plurality of speculative branch direction predictions indicated by the `T' entries in the BHT's segment-entry information are generated) wherein said generating, said selecting, and said speculatively branching are preformed prior to decoding said instruction (see col. 5, lines 30-32 and col. 12, lines 6-8).

Emma et al./421 clearly anticipates the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,734
Art Unit: 2183

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Henry Tsai whose telephone number is (703) 308-7600. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, Eddie Chan, can be reached on (703)

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/849,734

Art Unit: 2183

305-9712. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays,
Group 2100 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions
directly into the Group at fax number: 703-872-9306.

This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2100 will be promptly forward to the examiner.

HENRY W. H. TSAI

PRIMARY EXAMINE

August 8, 2004